

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **November 30, 2004** the Examiner reviewed claims 1-33. Claims 1-11 and 23-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 12-22 were allowed.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 1-11 and 23-33 were rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant has canceled claims 1-11 and 23-33 without prejudice to possibly be pursued in a continuation application. Claims 12-22 were previously allowed.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By



Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47,615

Date: January 14, 2005

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665